

Design Patterns are ...

Design Patterns

- Design patterns are known solutions for common problems. Design patterns give us a system of names and ideas for common problems.

Design Patterns Levels and Types

- Levels:
- Types:


Design Patterns Levels and Types

- There are different types and levels of design patterns. For example, the MVC is the **architectural level** of design pattern while the rest of the patterns from the list above are **component level** design patterns.
- The basic **types** are **Behavior, Creational, Structural, and System** design patterns. Names are extremely important in design patterns; they should be clear and descriptive.
- More types: Enterprise and SOA Design Patterns

Christopher Alexander – The first book on Design Patterns

Classics: "Design Patterns: Elements of Reusable Object-Oriented Software" by Erich Gamma, Richard Helm, Ralph Johnson, John Vlissides (GOF)

Among other good books: "Integration-Ready Architecture and Design or Software ... and Knowledge Engineering", JZ



How Design Patterns Appear?

Pressure for a New Model

- **What: Application development or even modification require longer and longer projects**
- **Why: Growing applications become more complex and rigid; *too firm and inflexible in spite of the name – Software***




Special efforts are needed

Industry Lessons Learned

Design Patterns

Business-Driven Architecture

- *How can technology be designed to remain in alignment with changing business goals and requirements?*



Business-Driven Architecture


- **Solution**
- Business and architecture analysis is conducted as collaborative efforts on a *regular basis*
- **Impact**
- To keep technology in alignment with the business that is changing over time, it will require a **commitment in time and cost to govern**

Design Pattern - MVC

Controller

Model


View



- MVC (Model – View – Controller) is well known pattern
- Name – MVC
- **Problem** – Complex object involves user interface and data. Need to simplify structure
- **Solution** – Data in one part (Model), user View in another part (View), interaction logic in a third part (Controller)
 - Model maintains state. Notifies view of changes in state.
 - Controller uses state information (in Model?) and user request to determine how to handle request, tells view what to display
 - View must correctly display the state of the Model
- **Consequences**
 - Allows "plug in" modules – eg. swap out Model to allow different ways of holding data
 - Requires separate engineering of the three parts, communication between them through interfaces

Factory Method

- **Problem** – Need to create objects, object type depends on several factors. Objects are used in standard ways.
- **Solution** – Creator class has a "getter"



Factory-2 (Servlet Best Practices)

New services can be added run time as new JSPs/ASPs or Java™/.NET classes

//serviceName and serviceDetails are to be populated

// by servlet doPost() , doGet() or service() methods

```
String serviceName = request.getParameter("service");
```

```
Hashtable serviceDetails = getServiceDetails();
```

```
Service service = // known or new service
```

```
(Service) Class.forName(serviceName).newInstance();
```

```
String content = service.run(serviceDetails);
```

```
response.setContentType("text/html"); // "application/xsl" and etc.
```

```
response.getWriter().println(content);
```

XML based Service API allows us to describe any existing and future service

```
<ServiceRequest service="Mail" action="get">
```

```
  <Param><paramName1=...></Param>
```

```
</ServiceRequest>
```

We can find both Dispatcher and Factory patterns in this example. This approach makes it possible to create a unified API for client – server communications. Any service (including new, unknown design time services) can be requested by a client without code change.

Design Pattern

Canonical Data Model

- *How can services be designed to avoid data model transformation?*
- **Problem**
- Services with disparate models for similar data impose transformation requirements that increase development effort, design complexity, and runtime performance overhead.

Canonical Data Model

- **Solution**
- Data models for common information sets are standardized across service contracts within an inventory boundary.
- **Application**
- Design standards are applied to schemas used by service contracts as part of a formal design process.

Canonical Data Model

- **Principles**
- Standardized Service Contract
- **Architecture**
- Inventory, Service

Design Pattern

Canonical Protocol

- *How can services be designed to avoid protocol bridging?*
- **Problem**
- Services that support different communication technologies compromise interoperability, limit the quantity of potential consumers, and introduce the need for undesirable protocol bridging measures.

Canonical Protocol

- **Solution**
- The architecture establishes a single communications technology as the sole or primary medium by which services can interact.
- **Application**
- The communication protocols (including protocol versions) used within a service inventory boundary are standardized for all services.

Design Pattern

Concurrent Contracts

- *How can a service facilitate multi-consumer coupling requirements and abstraction concerns at the same time?*
- **Problem**
- A service's contract may not be suitable or applicable for all of the service's potential consumers.

Concurrent Contracts

- **Solution**
- Multiple contracts can be created for a single service, each targeted at a specific type of consumer.

- **Application**
- This pattern is ideally applied together with the Service Façade pattern to support new contracts as required.

Singleton Design Pattern

- **Problem** – need to be sure there is at most one object of a given class in the system at one time
- **Solution**
 - Hide the class constructor
 - Provide a method in the class to obtain the instance
 - Let class manage the single instance

```
public class Singleton{  
    private static Singleton instance;  
    private Singleton(){ } // private constructor!  
    public Singleton getInstance(){  
        if (instance == null)  
            instance = new Singleton();  
        return instance;  
    }  
}
```

Provider Design Pattern

- **Context**

Separate implementations of the API from the API itself

Problem

We needed a flexible design and at the same time easily extensible


Solution

A provider implementation derives from an abstract base class, which is used to define a contract for a particular feature.

For example, to create a provider for multiple storage platforms, you create the feature base class **RDBMSProvider** that derives from a common **StorageProvider** base class that forces the implementation of required methods and properties common to all providers.

Then you create the **DB2Provider**, **OracleProvider**, **MSSQLProvider**, etc. classes that derived from the **RDBMSProvider**.

In a similar manner you create the **DirectoryStorageProvider** derived from the **StorageProvider** with its subclasses **ActiveDirectoryProvider**, **LDAPProvider**, and etc.



javax.sql.DataSource interface

com.its.data.DataSource

DataConnector

getConnection()

Adaptable Data Service for Multiple Storage Platforms

Providing Access to Multiple Data Sources via Unified API


DataConnector

XMLdescriptor

parseXML()

get(); update();

delete(); insert();




java.sql.Connection interface

- Multiple storage platforms can be transparent
- The same basic data operations are implemented by connectors
- Data structure and business rules are captured in XML descriptors
- **Design Patterns: Model, Adapter, Provider**

Authentication Service

Delegation, Façade and Provider Design Patterns



1. Delegation: application-specific rules are in a configuration file
2. Façade: a single interface for all applications regardless of data source
3. Provider: Works with multiple datasource providers

Active Directory, LDAP and RDBMS

Layered: separated Utility and Data Access Layers

Standard-based: Web Service and Messaging Service Standard Interfaces

Secure: Protected by HTTPS and Valid Certificates

Business
Utility Services
Data Layer Services

Authentication Service

Provider, Façade and Model Design Patterns

// read config & build application map on initiation

AppsArray[] apps = serviceConfig.getApplicationArray();

// apps maps each application to its data source(s)


// getRoles(appName, userName);

AuthServiceDao dao = apps.getService(appName);

// dao is one of types: LdapDao, AdDao or DbDao


String roles = dao.getRoles(userName);

How Façade Design Pattern can help us to Improve Implementations of Internet Services, Increase Reuse and Remove Duplications



From Project-based code to Enterprise Services using Façade Design Pattern

Internet Services Architecture Proposal



Enterprise Services will Shield Applications and Enable Changes from current to better Implementations


Portal Services Vision and Proposal

Current
Implementations



Future
Implementations

Publish and promote
adaptation of Web
Services



Design Pattern Delegate

- **Problem**
- Business logics is often customized on client requests creating maintenance pain

Delegate

- **Solution**
- Delegate changeable part of business logic to a special component, like a rules service, and simplify changing this logic.
- Check out the [Reference Architecture: Focus on Service Layers](#) document and find examples of using the Delegate and following Agnostic Context Design Patterns in the Target Architecture draft

Design Pattern

Agnostic Context

- *How can multi-purpose service logic be positioned as an effective enterprise resource?*
- **Problem**
- Multi-purpose logic grouped together with single purpose logic results in programs with little or no reuse potential that introduce waste and redundancy into an enterprise.

Agnostic Context


- **Solution**
- Isolate logic that is not specific to one purpose into separate services with distinct agnostic contexts.

- **Application**
- Agnostic service contexts are defined by ***carrying out service-oriented analysis*** and service modeling processes.

[More SOA Patterns](#)

Governance

Connect System and Enterprise Architectures
Connect Business and Technology Architecture
Engage Teams in Collaborative Engineering



Business requirements

Conduct service-oriented analysis to re-think Enterprise Architecture

SOA with TOGAF

Learn:


TOGAF Intro

TOGAF ADM Features to Support SOA

Why TOGAF & SOA?


- The Open Group Architecture Framework (TOGAF)
- TOGAF is a mature EA framework
- SOA is an architecture style
- Enterprises struggle to move to SOA
- TOGAF helps to describe EA and steps for SOA

Enterprise Continuum



Phase A: TOGAF General Views

- Business Architecture views
- Data Architecture views
- Applications Architecture views
- Technology Architecture views
- [Baseline Architecture with New Architecture Templates](#)



Business

Data

Service

Infrastructure

Mapping Business and Technology Views

Business Architecture/Process View: Workflows & Scenarios

Business Architecture/Product View:
Product Lines, Products, Features
Descriptions and order terms

Service Views:
Business/Utility/Data Services
Descriptions and execution terms

Data Architecture:
Standards, Repositories
Descriptions and Models

Technology Architecture:
Platforms/Servers/Net/Security

Questions?

Please feel free to [email](#) or call Jeff:
720-299-4701

We are looking for your feedback: what was especially helpful and what else you would like to know, and what are better ways to work together in a collaborative fashion

QUESTIONS?
QUESTIONS?